

**LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC**

Bruce D. Greenberg  
Susana Cruz Hodge  
Jeffrey A. Shooman  
Two Gateway Center, Suite 1201  
Newark, New Jersey 07102  
Tel: (973) 623-3000  
Fax: (973) 623-0858  
Email: bgreenberg@litedepalma.com  
scrushodge@litedepalma.com  
jshooman@litedepalma.com

*Attorneys for plaintiffs and the putative class*

[Additional counsel on signature page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

DANIEL KLEIN AND STEPHANIE KLEIN,  
on behalf of themselves and others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BUDGET RENT A CAR SYSTEM, INC. and  
AVIS BUDGET CAR RENTAL, LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

**CLASS-ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY DEMAND**

**INTRODUCTION**

Daniel Klein and Stephanie Klein, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, bring this action to obtain damages from and injunctive relief against Budget Rent A Car System, Inc. and Avis Budget Car Rental, LLC (together, “Budget”) for charging them and class members hidden surcharges to obtain frequent-flyer miles or reward points earned from Budget’s travel partners in connection with rental-car agreements.

## **PARTIES**

1. Plaintiffs Daniel Klein and Stephanie Klein are Illinois citizens and reside at 415 Wisconsin St., Unit E, Chicago, Illinois 60614. During the class period, Budget charged plaintiffs and plaintiffs paid Budget a hidden surcharge for frequent-flyer miles that Stephanie Klein earned from a Budget travel partner in connection with her rental-car agreement.

2. Defendant Budget Rent A Car System, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters at 6 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, NJ 07054, and it controls its website, [www.Budget.com](http://www.Budget.com), from these headquarters.

3. Defendant Avis Budget Car Rental, LLC a Delaware limited liability company with its headquarters at 6 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, NJ 07054, and it controls its website, [www.Budget.com](http://www.Budget.com), from these headquarters.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has diversity subject matter jurisdiction over this class action lawsuit pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, which amended 28 U.S.C. § 1332 to add a new subsection (d) conferring federal jurisdiction over class actions where, as here, “any member of a class of Plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant” and the aggregated amount in controversy exceeds five million dollars (\$5,000,000), exclusive of interest and costs.”

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties because plaintiffs submit to this Court’s jurisdiction and Budget is headquartered in this District.

6. Venue exists in this Court under 15 U.S.C. § 22 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Budget resides in, transacts business in, is found within, and has agents in this District and a substantial part of the events giving rise to plaintiffs’ claims arose in this District.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **A. *Budget's background***

7. Founded in 1958, Budget operates one of the world's leading car-rental brands, providing business and leisure customers with a wide range of services multiple locations throughout the U.S. and abroad.

8. To rent a vehicle from Budget, customers must make a vehicle reservation either by telephone or online through [www.budget.com](http://www.budget.com). This lawsuit currently concerns only vehicle rentals made through [www.budget.com](http://www.budget.com).

9. When making reservations through [www.budget.com](http://www.budget.com), customers enter the date, time, and location of their rental; the type of vehicle to be leased; and certain personal data.

10. When making their online reservation, customers also may choose to purchase, for an additional fee, rental options such as GPS Navigation, XM Satellite Radio, Fuel service Option, and various rental protections and coverages, such as Loss Damage Waiver, Personal Accident and Effects Insurance, and Supplemental Liability Insurance.

11. At this point in the online-reservation process, Budget prominently displays in orange writing the "**TOTAL**" of the rental charges on-screen, which total includes the customer's car, options, and taxes & fees. ("Taxes & fees" are comprised of total taxes and total fees; "total fees" are comprised of city surcharge, vehicle license, and customer facility.)

12. Budget's next screen invites customers to enter their preferred airline's frequent-flier number for the purpose of accruing frequent-flier miles for having rented from Budget. Until recently, Budget described no price associated with this invitation; although, Budget's recent description continues to lack objective conspicuousness. This screen also includes a prompt for customers to "rent it!"

13. Budget next presents the customer with an online confirmation screen explaining, “You’re done!,” followed by Budget’s Terms and Conditions, none of which include or otherwise mention a charge for accepting Budget’s invitation to receive frequent-flier miles associated with a vehicle rental.

14. This online confirmation again describes in bold a customer’s “**TOTAL**,” which, as described in ¶ 11, Budget tallies solely from the cost of a customer’s “car,” “options,” and “taxes & fees” – none of which include or otherwise involve a charge for the frequent-flier miles that Budget invites its customers to receive for renting with Budget.

15. Budget next e-mails a confirmation to its customer, which includes the same total as Budget offered throughout the entire vehicle-reservation process, this time expressed in bold as the customer’s “**rental total USD**.”

16. The totals that Budget expresses in its online confirmation (¶¶ 13-14) and e-mail confirmation (¶ 15) confirms the customer’s total rental charge, which is comprised of the same pricing elements as described in ¶ 11. In this manner, Budget’s rental-reservation process promises three times a total that does not contain a charge for the frequent-flier miles that Budget invites and encourages its customers to request.

17. Budget provides customers with a document entitled Rental Terms and Conditions at the time customers pick up their rental vehicles. Budget also provides customers with a Rental Agreement that describes details of the rental, including the vehicle’s make and model; rental dates; mileage at pick-up; and an itemized list of the rental charges, including all optional charges, such as GPS navigation.

18. When customers return the vehicle, Budget provides them a Return Agreement Receipt, which itemizes the final rental charges.

19. As part of Budget's vehicle-rental business, it offers complimentary membership programs designed to speed-up and streamline the reservation-and-rental process.

20. Budget's *RapidRez* program provides customers with a personal member identification number that allows them to create and save a rental profile that contains their personal data, rental preferences, and special-discount information. This way, customers need not enter this information every time they make a reservation.

21. The *RapidRez with Fast Break* program includes the added benefit of allowing customers to bypass the service counter upon airport arrival and to proceed directly to their rental vehicles where the Rental Terms and Conditions and Rental Agreement await them.

22. Customers who enroll in the *RapidRez* program agree to be bound by terms and conditions that apply both to *RapidRez* customers as well as customers who have upgraded their *RapidRez* membership to *RapidRez with Fastbreak*. In this manner, *RapidRez* and *RapidRez with Fastbreak* customers agree to be bound by the same terms and conditions.

23. *RapidRez* and *RapidRez with Fastbreak* members may choose to receive e-receipts for their rental transaction, which e-receipts itemize their final rental charges.

**B. *Budget's Frequent Traveler Program and this program's secret frequent-flyer-miles surcharge***

24. Through Budget's Frequent Traveler Program, customers may earn frequent-flyer miles or reward points on car rentals made at participating Budget locations through a variety of partnerships with airlines, hotels, and other select companies (Budget's "Travel Partners").

25. For example, at the time that plaintiffs entered into the rental transaction that is the subject of this Complaint, customers could earn with United Airlines 50 miles per rental day or 500 miles on rentals of five or more days.

26. *RapidRez* and *RapidRez with Fastbreak* customers who wish to earn frequent-flyer miles or reward points may enter their frequent-flyer or reward-program membership number during the online-reservation process. All other customers who wish to earn miles or reward points may submit their frequent-flyer or rewards-program membership number by telephone after completing their online reservation.

27. Budget pays its travel partners for the cost of miles or points purchased from them as well as a 7.5% federal excise tax. Under the Tax Relief Act of 1997, this 7.5% federal-excise tax applies to all states, except Arizona, California, Missouri, Oregon, and Wyoming.

28. But without suitable disclosure, Budget turns around and charges its customers a surcharge for requesting and earning these miles or reward points from its Travel Partners—miles that Budget, at all stages in the rental process, invites and encourages its customers to request and receive by suggesting to its customers that Budget will provide these miles or reward points at no additional charge.

29. And while Budget's Frequent Traveler Program Terms and Conditions (to the extent customers even see them) mentions, "Surcharges and taxes are not quoted on your online reservation rate. If the location you are renting at participates in the program, the surcharge will be added to your rate at the rental counter when you return your car," at the time of plaintiffs' rental, Budget never explained (and continues to explain inadequately) at any point in the rental-reservation process—despite three opportunities to do so— that even the potential for such a surcharge existed and in fact *did* exist with respect to rentals at major pick-up locations, such as airports.

30. Consistent with this hidden surcharge, Budget charges its customers \$0.75 per rental day for the "benefit" of accruing frequent-flyer miles or reward points.

31. But neither Budget's Terms of Use—relating to all vehicle rentals—nor its Fast Break Terms and Conditions—relating to rentals by customers enrolled in Budget's *RapidRez* and *RapidRez with Fastbreak* explains—previously at all and now inadequately—that Budget will charge customers for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget encourages and invites customers to request and accrue.

32. In this manner, Budget baits and switches its customers first by suggesting that it will provide them frequent-flyer miles or reward points at no additional charge and then quietly—indeed, secretly—charging them for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget encouraged and invited its customers to request as a benefit of their vehicle rentals without ever properly and objectively disclosing and explaining this surreptitious charge.

33. At no time during the reservation-and-rental process does Budget disclose to its customers—in an objectively visible and understandable way—that it will charge them for frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points earned with their vehicle rentals.

34. Instead, Budget makes customers believe that it will provide them frequent-flyer miles or reward points at no additional charge while unnoticeably charging them for these miles or points.

35. Unlike surcharges for City Surcharge, Vehicle License, and Customer Facility, and rental options like GPS Navigation, Budget does *not* list its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge on the “**TOTAL**” that Budget prominently displays during the online reservation process, nor does Budget list this surcharge anywhere on the e-mail reservation confirmation that itemizes every *other* charge that Budget intends to charge its customers.

36. Likewise, Budget's terms and conditions make no mention that Budget will charge customers for earning frequent-flyer miles or reward points. With respect to “Rental

Charges,” Budget’s Rental Terms and Conditions and its Fast Break Terms and Conditions instead explain as follows:

Rental Charges

You will pay for the number of miles/kilometers you drive and the period of time you rent the car at the rate provided to you by the reservation agent or reservation system at the time of reservation, or your applicable corporate rate. The minimum charge is one day (24 hours) plus mileage/kilometerage, or a fixed fee. We will determine the miles/kilometers by reading the factory-installed odometer. The daily charge applies to consecutive 24-hour periods starting at the hour and minute the rental begins. If you fail to comply with any conditions for special rates specified on the rental document our otherwise applicable rates will be charged. You’ll pay all charges that apply to the rental for miscellaneous services and, where permitted, airport facility fees and/or concession recovery fees, vehicle license recovery fees, other fees and surcharges. If you use a car with automatic toll payment capability, you will pay for all tolls incurred during your rental and all related service charges. You will also pay a reasonable fee for cleaning the car’s interior upon return for excessive stains, dirt or soilage attributable to your use. You and any third party to whom any rental charges are billed, such as an insurer or employer, are jointly and severally responsible for payment of all such charges. If you direct us to bill any such charges to a third party, you represent that you are authorized to do so.

37. Moreover, Budget’s webpage describing information about its travel partners is captioned, “smart perks” followed by “frequent flyer miles/partner perks.” “Perks,” of course, means, “an extra financial benefit; a monetary inducement or reward.” *Dictionary of American Slang and Colloquial Expressions*, (4<sup>th</sup> ed. 2007).

38. But instead of describing—either at all or properly—its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharges during the reservation process or anywhere in the explanatory materials that shape and control its customers’ rental relationships, when customers pick up their vehicles, Budget includes on the Rental Agreement—for the first time—a line-item charge tucked discreetly into the lower left column entitled “FTP Sur.” This term is encoded in a manner that no reasonable renter can or should be expected to decipher and appears among other capitalized fees that Budget previously disclosed to its customers. Budget then slips this same



term into customers' Rental Agreement Receipts, again hidden and encoded in the this same manner and again sneaks it into customers' electronic receipts in an *newly* designed code: "FTP SR."

39. Budget hides its frequent-flier surcharge in this manner because it knows that when picking up a rental car, customers are not inclined to review their Rental Agreements—and at return, their Rental Agreement Receipts—for extra, undisclosed charges. Indeed, Budget acknowledges this reality in its *Fastbreak* Enrollment Form, where Budget admits that *RapidRez with Fastbreak* enrollment allows customers to, "Get In, Get Out, Get Going™ on your way, fast!"

40. Importantly, neither Budget's Rental Agreement nor its Return Agreement Receipt describe Budget's secret code or explain that these codes involve surcharges for customers' frequent-flyer miles or reward points earned from vehicle rentals.

**C. *Plaintiffs' facts***

41. On July 14, 2012, Plaintiff Daniel Klein made a rental reservation for Plaintiff Stephanie Klein—a Budget *RapidRez with Fastbreak* member—through [www.Budget.com](http://www.Budget.com). He reserved a four-day rental of a Dodge Avenger (or similarly classed vehicle) for July 15-19, 2012, which Mrs. Klein planned to pick up and return to Cleveland-Hopkins International Airport.

42. During the reservation process, Budget prompted Mr. Klein to enter Mrs. Klein's United Mileage Plus number, which he did. This way, she could receive frequent-flyer miles for her rental.

43. Before completing this vehicle rental, Budget listed all of plaintiffs' rental charges, none of which included a frequent-flyer mile surcharge.

44. Immediately after Mr. Klein completed Mrs. Klein's rental reservation, Budget's confirmation screen confirmed the total cost for this rental to be \$427.56, describing this charge as follows:

**Rate (USD)**

car	340
options	0.00
taxes & fees	87.56
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 427.56</b>

A true copy of plaintiffs' confirmation screen is attached as Exhibit 1.

45. Budget's confirmation screen included additional Terms and Conditions. In particular, these Terms and Conditions explained, "**This isn't simply 'fine print' our lawyers ask us to include.** . . . [W]e *really* want you to be happy with your Budget experience. See you soon!" *Id.* (bold and italics in original)

46. Budget's confirmation also discussed the entire universe of charges that plaintiffs could expect to receive:

Your total rental rate is calculated based on the information *provided at time of reservation*. The rate is based on *the exact parameters (location, dates, etc.) of your particular rental*—so changing *any* of your confirmed reservation parameters could result in a different rates, taxes and fees.

- Surcharges[—when mentioned although plaintiffs' rental reservation mentioned none—]and other fees are subject to tax in certain locations. This tax is not reflected in your reservation total and will be applied at time of rental.
- Quoted taxes and fees[—although Budget's frequent-flier surcharge is neither—]are subject to change which will affect your final total due at rental return.

*Id.* (bolded emphasis added).

47. Budget's e-mail confirmation contained the same language as described in ¶ 46 and explained again that Budget was charging plaintiffs only for the following items:

**Rate (USD)**

car	340
options	0.00
taxes & fees	87.56
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 427.56</b>

48. Based on Budget’s rental-reservation process, confirmation screen, and e-mail confirmation, plaintiffs knew precisely what charges to expect (or not to expect) and were provided no reasonable basis to suspect that Budget intended to—and would—charge them for frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget encouraged and invited them to request and accrue.

49. When Mrs. Klein arrived at the airport on the day of her rental, as a Budget *RapidRez with Fastbreak* member, she dashed past the Budget service counter and headed directly to her vehicle, as Budget intended and its *RapidRez with Fastbreak* program encouraged. After all, Budget designed this program so that its *RapidRez with Fastbreak* members could “Get In, Get Out, Get Going™ on your way, fast!”

50. Budget inconspicuously slid plaintiffs’ Rental Agreement (Exhibit 2) into a Rental Terms and Conditions multi-fold sleeve. Plaintiffs’ Rental Agreement included the identical charges as had appeared on Budget’s confirmation screen and e-mail confirmation but now—for the first time—these charges included Budget’s \$0.75/day “FTP Sur” charge.

51. As described in ¶ 38, Budget had quietly tucked this new charge into its Rental Agreement’s lower left column toward the bottom line in a sea of other undefined and codes with the exception of capitalized charges that Budget intended customers to see. What’s more, this surreptitious charge appeared in tiny font designed and formatted never to be read or questioned, much less understood by a reasonable renter, especially one who was dashing and driving, as Budget intended and its *RapidRez with Fastbreak* program encouraged.

52. When Mrs. Klein returned her car, Budget issued her a Rental Agreement Receipt. This Rental Agreement Receipt again included an “FTP Sur” charge of \$0.75/day, still hidden among other terms, some capitalized for viewing (if at all), and still failing ever to decode or explain this charge.

53. By its scheme, Budget illegally charged plaintiffs \$3.00 for frequent-flier surcharges to which Budget was not entitled, as reflected in plaintiffs’ electronic receipt, which reflects a total charge of \$547.39 and refers to Budget’s frequent-flier surcharge now in a *newly* encoded format, “FTP SR.” A true copy of plaintiffs’ electronic receipt is attached as Exhibit 3.

### **CLASS-ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

54. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit on behalf of the following class under Rules 23(b)(2) and (3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

All Budget customers who rented a vehicle from Budget through [www.budget.com](http://www.budget.com) and who paid a surcharge for receiving frequent-flyer miles or reward points from a company that participated as a Budget travel partner.

Excluded from plaintiffs’ class are (a) Budget and any entity in which Budget has a controlling interest; (b) Budget’s employees, officers, directors, agents, and representatives and their family members; (c) class counsel, employees of class counsels’ firms, and class counsels’ immediate family members; and (d) the presiding judge and magistrate judge and any of their immediate family members.

55. Budget deceived plaintiffs into paying a surcharge for frequent-flyer miles or reward points in connection with a vehicle rental; therefore, plaintiffs are class members.

56. Plaintiffs can identify all other class members from Budget’s records.

57. Plaintiffs do not know the exact size of the class since this information is in Budget’s exclusive control. But based on the nature of the commerce involved, plaintiffs believe

that the class numbers in the thousands and that class members are dispersed throughout the U.S. Therefore, joinder of all class members would be impracticable, and class treatment is the superior method for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy.

58. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of other class members' claims because plaintiffs and class members were all injured by Budget's uniform deception of leading customers to believe that Budget would not charge them for frequent-flyer miles or reward points.

59. Common legal or factual questions predominate within the class, including but not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Budget's uniform representations, omissions, and conduct regarding its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge were misleading or false;
- b. Whether Budget uniform behavior in marketing its program that allowed customers to accrue frequent-flier miles or rewards points (hereinafter, Budget's "Travel Partner Program") constituted affirmative misrepresentations, intentional omissions, or unconscionable commercial practices that violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 *et seq.*;
- c. Whether Budget's uniform representations, omissions, and conduct—including reference to "perks"—were likely to deceive consumers into believing that Budget would provide them frequent-flyer miles or reward points at no additional charge to the other prices that Budget enumerated in consumers' rental reservations;
- d. Whether Budget undertook a course of conduct to hide its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge;
- e. Whether Budget's conduct injured plaintiffs and class members;
- f. Whether as a result of Budget's wrongdoing, plaintiffs and class members sustained damages and are entitled to damages and/or restitution and if so, the proper measure and appropriate formula for determining their damages and restitution;
- g. Whether Budget owes plaintiffs and the class members injunctive relief; and
- h. Whether plaintiffs' and class members' claims are governed by New

Jersey law, as required by Budget's Terms of Use and Fastbreak Service Terms and Conditions.

60. Plaintiffs can and will fairly and adequately represent and protect class members' interests and have no interests that conflict with or are antagonistic to their interests. Moreover, plaintiffs' attorneys are experienced and competent in complex class-action litigation.

61. Class certification is the superior procedural vehicle for fairly and efficiently adjudicating plaintiffs' claims because:

- a. Common questions of law or fact predominate over any individual questions that exist within the class and, consequently, economies to the Court and the parties exist in litigating these common issues on a classwide basis instead of on a repetitive, individual basis;
- b. Each class member's damage claim is too small to make individual litigation an economically viable possibility, and few class members have any interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions;
- c. Class treatment is required for optimal deterrence and compensation and for limiting the Court-awarded, reasonable legal expenses incurred by class members;
- d. Despite the relatively small size of each class member's claim, the aggregate volume of their claims—coupled with the economies of scale inherent in litigating similar claims on a common basis—will enable class counsel to litigate this case on a cost-effective basis; and
- e. Plaintiffs anticipate no unusual difficulties in this class action's management in that all legal and factual questions are common to the class.

62. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule 23(b)(2) because Budget has acted on grounds generally applicable to plaintiffs and the class members, all of whom are at imminent risk of irreparable harm from Budget having charged and continuing to charge them hidden surcharges for frequent-flyer miles and reward points and all of whom are entitled, as a result, to a declaration that establishes their rights and Budget's duties with respect to these surcharges.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Violation of New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act**  
**(N.J.S.A. 56:8-1, *et seq.*)**

63. This legal claim incorporates all of the foregoing allegations.

64. In violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 *et seq.*—particularly N.J.S.A. 56:8-2—Budget used and employed unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, misrepresentations, and/or the knowing concealment, suppression, and/or omission of material facts with the intent that others rely thereon, in its marketing, advertisement and implementation of its Travel Partner Program.

65. ***Budget's unlawful conduct – Budget's affirmative misrepresentations.*** By the following affirmative acts, Budget misrepresented the truth to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters, acting in such a manner as to convince plaintiffs and other reasonable renters that Budget would not charge them for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget invited and encouraged plaintiffs and reasonable renters to request during the rental-reservation process:

- a. Budget affirmatively represented to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters that its Travel Partner Program involved no additional charge in the total price that Budget quoted to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters by failing to disclose its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters—referring to the frequent-flyer miles or reward-points that Budget encouraged and invited plaintiffs and customers to request as “perks”—and instead by enumerating only *other* fees and charges associated with plaintiffs’ and other reasonable renters’ vehicle rentals.
- b. Budget affirmatively listed multiple other charges to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters during the on-line rental-reservation process and affirmatively invited plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to enter their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number, which they did. But during this entire time and afterwards, Budget affirmatively hid (in discrete, extremely difficult-to-find areas on its website) and affirmatively and double encoded (in later-produced documents that Budget expected neither plaintiffs nor reasonable renters to see, understand, or appreciate, twice as “FTP Sur” and once as “FTP SR”) the fact that Budget was

affirmatively charging plaintiffs and other reasonable renters \$.0.75/day for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget never disclosed—at all or properly—during the reservation process.

- c. Although Budget's rental-reservation process listed plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' "total" rental prices, Budget affirmatively misrepresented that only specific pricing elements constituted plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' rental prices, and these specific elements did not include Budget's frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge.
- d. While affirmatively misrepresenting to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters that their total rental prices were based on specifically enumerated pricing elements, Budget also affirmatively represented that only "miscellaneous services and, where permitted, airport facility fees and/or concession recovery fees, vehicle license recovery fees, other fees and surcharges" were subject to later inclusion to plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' total rental prices. But Budget made no similar reference that its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge would also affect plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' rental prices.
- e. Although Budget's rental-reservation process clearly and affirmatively stated and explained to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters that Budget would not charge them for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget's on-line rental-reservation process affirmatively encouraged plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to request, Budget did, in fact, charge plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for these frequent-flyer miles and reward points.
- f. Budget affirmatively structured and designed its website in such a manner as to bury its website's reference to Budget's frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge multiple drags, clicks, and web pages deep. Budget also affirmatively structured and designed its website in such a manner as to cause plaintiffs and other reasonable renters not to discover Budget's frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge.

To discover Budget's frequent-flyer or reward-points surcharge, plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would have had to undertake the investigative process from a place entirely unassociated with where they would start the online-reservation process (much less an investigation that they never even knew or ever expected they had to initiate). To discover this charge, Budget affirmatively structured and designed its website in such a manner as to require plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to sidestep the reservation fields that Budget prominently displayed on its homepage and from where Budget reasonably expected plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to begin their on-line rental-reservation process.



Instead, plaintiffs and other reasonable renters had to (yet wouldn't or couldn't) know to click "services," which not only is Budget's fifth of six tabs but bears a moniker bearing no reasonable relationship to the rental-reservation process. In this manner, Budget affirmatively structured and designed its website to require plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to plot a course through multiple web pages if they ever hoped to find Budget's eventual reference to its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge (affirmatively misdescribed as a "tax") that Budget buried deep in its website.

Budget's affirmative web design and structure creates the plausible inference that Budget affirmatively acted to prevent plaintiffs and other reasonable renters from discovering Budget's frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge.

- g. Budget's structured and designed its website, rental-reservation process, Rental Agreement, and Rental Agreement Receipt in such a way as to hide Budget's charge to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points that Budget encouraged and invited them to accrue when entering their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number. Budget hid this surcharge from plaintiffs and other reasonable renters when Budget could have easily and simply referred to this charge in its enumerated pricing fields and/or on its homepage for plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to see before completing their rental reservations.

Instead, Budget, in addition to omitting reference to its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge from the rental-reservation process and hiding this information deep in its website, listed its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge at the bottom of the lower left column of plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' Rental Agreement and Rental Agreement Receipt, in no darker or more pronounced, or larger print or font than any of these documents' other pro-forma terms (some capitalized so that *those* words would be notice in the event renters happened to see this language). What's more, Budget quietly slipped its Rental Agreement into a multi-fold sleeve.

Budget designed its rental-reservation process so that plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would have little chance even to see their Rental Agreements and Rental Agreement Receipts (and the new term tucked into them) before committing to Budget and driving off since, after all, Budget's Rental Agreement and Rental Agreement Receipt did not even exist when plaintiffs and other reasonable renters formed their contracts with Budget.

When Budget did eventually refer to its frequent-flyer surcharge, it did so in the form of a secret code—“FTP Sur”: a code that was contained in Budget’s Rental Agreement, then as “FTP SR”: a different code that was contained in Budget’s Rental Agreement Receipt. Budget could not expect any reasonable renter to crack these codes, especially considering how these acronyms neither looks nor sound like “frequent-flyer” or “reward points” anything.

These facts create the plausible inference that Budget made affirmative misrepresentations for the purpose of committing an unlawful act proscribed by the NJCFA—namely, to generate additional and illegal revenues from plaintiffs and other reasonable and unsuspecting renters.

- h. Budget’s omissions of accurate information about material facts—namely, Budget’s intention to charge plaintiffs and other renters for the frequent-flyer miles and reward points that its reservation process encouraged and invited them to request—rendered Budget’s statements concerning its rental-reservation process affirmative misrepresentations. Plaintiffs’ allegations concerning Budget’s affirmative misrepresentations essentially subsume Budget’s knowing omissions.
- i. Budget’s affirmative misrepresentations were material to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters’ decisions to request frequent-flyer miles or reward points. The knowledge of a charge for frequent-flyer miles or reward points is important to vehicle renters because: (1) they need and deserve to make informed decisions concerning whether they want to spend money for frequent-flyer miles or reward points, and (2) they need and deserve to be able to calculate whether these frequent-flyer miles or reward points might actually cause them to *lose* money where Budget’s surcharge for frequent-flyer miles or reward points exceeds the cash value of the miles or points received.

But Budget did *not* allow plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to make this important calculation. Budget intentionally disregarded these practical and important reasons for why plaintiffs and other reasonable renters needed to know that Budget was charging them for frequent-flyer miles and reward points. Budget’s disregard of a fact affecting the ultimate cost to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for frequent-flyer miles or reward points creates the plausible inference that plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would have considered knowledge of the surcharge material to their decision whether or not to provide Budget their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number—as opposed, importantly, to this information having affected their decision to rent a vehicle from Budget.

66. ***Budget's unlawful conduct – Budget's intentional omissions.*** Budget made the following omissions with intent and knowledge to conceal or omit material facts (i.e., knowing concealments) by both failing to include these material facts in a place where plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would expect to see them and by instead (to the extent that any disclosure was made at all) hiding these facts in obscure places with the intention that neither plaintiffs nor other reasonable renters ever see them:

- a. When plaintiffs and other reasonable renters executed their rental agreements, Budget had not disclosed to them its intention to charge them for frequent-flyer miles or reward points, which Budget did intend to do, if plaintiffs and other reasonable renters accepted Budget's invitation to enter their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number.
- b. Budget never informed—at all or properly—plaintiffs and other reasonable renters of the frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge that Budget intended to (and did) charge them if plaintiffs and other reasonable renters accepted Budget's invitation to enter their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number.
- c. By refusing to make a clear and comprehensive disclosure of its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge (either during the rental-reservation process or on its website) when Budget was aware that it was going to assess this charge, Budget acted with intent and knowledge to conceal or omit the material fact that it was going to charge plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points (i.e., “perks”) that Budget invited them to accrue when entering their frequent-flyer number or points-program number.
- d. Had Budget wanted or intended for plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to know that it was charging them for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points (i.e. “perks”) that it invited them to accrue when entering their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number, Budget could—indeed, should—have described this intention during the on-line rental-reservation process by including this charge in the list of other enumerated pricing fields that plaintiffs and other reasonable renters observed while engaging in the vehicle-rental process or elsewhere on Budget's website.

To accomplish this, Budget could—indeed, should—have included this surcharge (or at least complete reference to it) somewhere on one of the screens associated with Budget's on-line rental-reservation process, including on the confirmation page. When doing this, Budget could—

indeed, should— have done this in a place and manner where Budget could expect plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to see, appreciate, and understand it.

The fact that Budget omitted this information from the rental-reservation process and omitted it from any conspicuous place on its website, when it could—indeed, should—have easily included it in both places, creates the plausible inference that Budget omitted this information intentionally and knowingly for the purpose of generating additional and illegal revenues from plaintiffs and other reasonable and unsuspecting renters.

- e. Budget refused to disclose, during the rental-reservation process and meaningfully on its website, its intention to charge plaintiffs and other reasonable renters a frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points (i.e. “perks”) that Budget invited them to accrue when entering their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number.

Budget omitted any (or reasonable) reference to its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge in the enumerated items that constituted plaintiffs’ and other reasonable renters’ total charges, omitted reference to its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge when listing other charges that were necessarily subject to later inclusion, and omitted any reference to its frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge on its homepage, from where it encouraged plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to proceed when making their on-line vehicle rentals.

These facts are all material omissions relating to Budget’s intention to charge plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for the frequent-flyer miles or reward points (i.e., “perks”) that Budget invited them to accrue when entering their airline frequent-flyer numbers or points-program numbers. These facts create the plausible inference that Budget made these omissions knowingly and intentionally for the purpose of generating additional and illegal revenues from plaintiffs and other reasonable and unsuspecting renters.

- f. By informing plaintiffs and other reasonable renters that their “total” rental prices were based on specifically enumerated pricing elements and by omitting any or reasonable reference to Budget’s frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge when Budget knew it was going to assess this surcharge, Budget acted with intent and knowledge to conceal or omit this material fact.
- g. Budget’s intentional omissions were material to plaintiffs’ and other reasonable renters’ decisions to request frequent-flyer miles or reward points. The knowledge of a charge, which Budget intentionally omitted

thereby creating the plausible inference that frequent-flyer miles or reward points could be obtained at no additional charge (i.e., were “perks”), is important to vehicle renters. This knowledge is important to them because: (1) they need and deserve to make informed decisions concerning whether they want to spend money for frequent-flyer miles or reward points, and (2) they need and deserve to be able to calculate whether these frequent-flyer miles or reward points might actually cause them to *lose* money where Budget’s surcharge for frequent-flyer miles or reward points exceeds the cash value of the miles or points received.

But Budget did *not* allow plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to make this important calculation. Budget intentionally disregarded these practical and important reasons for why plaintiffs and other reasonable renters needed to know that Budget was charging them for frequent-flyer miles and reward points. Budget’s disregard of a fact affecting the ultimate cost to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for frequent-flyer miles or reward points creates the plausible inference that plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would have considered knowledge of the surcharge material to their decision whether or not to provide Budget their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number—as opposed, importantly, to this information having affected their decision to rent a vehicle from Budget.

67. ***Budget’s unlawful conduct – Budget’s unconscionable commercial practices***

***and deceptions.*** Budget engaged in the following unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions:

- a. Budget repeatedly listed specific pricing elements during its rental-reservation process (only the *amounts* of which were subject to adjustment), then embedded its double-encoded frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharge into plaintiffs’ and other reasonable renters’ Rental Agreements and Rental Agreement Receipts, knowing that plaintiffs and reasonable renters would neither detect nor understand that these later documents included Budget’s hidden charge.
- b. In this manner, Budget advertised to plaintiffs and other reasonable renters merchandise (i.e., frequent-flyer miles and reward points) at no additional charge (i.e., “perks”) as part of Budget’s plan or scheme not to sell them vehicle rentals at the prices Budget presented and advertised in the price “total[s]” that Budget provided plaintiffs and other reasonable renters.
- c. As a result, Budget baited-and-switched plaintiffs and other reasonable renters by first not including—then later adding—secret, hidden, and/or improperly disclosed frequent-flyer miles or rewards-points surcharges to their vehicle rentals. This activity was altogether different from having

merely encouraged plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to purchase a *different* product or service *in addition to* Budget's advertised vehicle rentals. It was different because Budget didn't "encourage" plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to do anything; rather, Budget hid what it was doing *from* plaintiffs and other reasonable renters.

- d. Budget's intention to charge and act of charging plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for frequent-flyer miles or reward points (i.e., the charges that Budget hid or improperly disclosed) constituted Budget's plan or scheme not to sell plaintiffs and other reasonable renters vehicle rentals at the prices that Budget advertised to them on its website and that Budget described to them in its subsequent rental confirmations.
- e. Budget's bait-and-switch concerned not plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' vehicle-rental contract as a whole but rather their interest and belief in receiving frequent-flyer miles or reward points for no additional charge (i.e. "perks"), unlike the enumerated charges that Budget conspicuously circumscribed on plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' vehicle-rental confirmation screens, in their e-mail confirmations, and on the other screens associated with the vehicle-rental process.
- f. Budget represented that no additional charges would be associated with plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' vehicle rentals—Budget's "bait"—which Budget followed by charging plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for the frequent-flyer miles and reward points that Budget had earlier intentionally represented that it would not—Budget's "switch." In this manner, Budget's plan or scheme switched plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' deals after they had made their rental-reservation contacts with Budget (i.e., after they had gotten in the door).

68. ***Plaintiffs' ascertainable loss resulting from Budget's unlawful conduct.***

Budget's above-described conduct caused plaintiffs an ascertainable loss since, as a result, plaintiffs paid for frequent-flyer miles when Budget suggested that they would not have to.

69. Plaintiffs had to pay for these frequent-flyer miles when they didn't expect to or have to because Budget misrepresented to them that there was no charge for these miles (i.e., they were "perks") and intentionally failed to disclose—at all or properly—the existence of this charge.

70. Plaintiffs' ascertainable loss is definite, certain, and measurable—\$0.75/day—rather than merely theoretical.

71. By alleging damages of \$0.75/day (for a total of \$3.00), which is not .006% of plaintiffs' total rental charge but is instead 100% of their overpayment for frequent-flyer miles based on Budget's illegal behavior, plaintiffs and other reasonable renters are able to present their damages with certainty and are able to demonstrate that these damages are capable of calculation and particularization.

72. By paying for frequent-flyer miles or reward points when Budget suggested that plaintiffs and other reasonable renters would not have to, plaintiffs and other reasonable renters lost the benefit of their bargain as to frequent-flyer miles and reward points (i.e., they paid more than they should have for what Budget delivered to them and paid what they did not expect or intend to pay). In this manner, Budget denied plaintiffs and other reasonable renters the fruits of their agreements with Budget as to frequent-flyer miles and reward points.

73. As such, plaintiffs and other reasonable renters received less than Budget promised them. Budget's illegal conduct thus caused plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' losses.

74. ***The causal relationship between plaintiffs paying for frequent-flyer miles and Budget's scheme to make them believe they would not have to.*** Budget's misrepresentations, deceptions and intentional failure to list its frequent-flyer or reward-points surcharge along with its other enumerated pricing fields during the reservation process and Budget's intentional act of burying reference to this charge deep in its website caused plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to pay for frequent-flyer miles or reward points.

75. Plaintiffs allege a causal connection between Budget's unlawful conduct (i.e., Budget's affirmative and/or intentional failure to list its frequent-flyer or reward-points surcharge alongside its other enumerated pricing fields during the reservation process, its act of intentionally burying reference to this charge deep in its website, and its bait-and-switch scheme) and plaintiffs' and other reasonable renters' ascertainable losses (i.e., the \$0.75/day that Budget charged plaintiffs and other reasonable renters for frequent-flyer miles and reward points).



76. Budget's unlawful conduct proximately caused plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to pay \$0.75/day (or \$3.00) wrongly for frequent-flyer miles and reward points, as opposed to having caused them to decide whether to rent from Budget or to request frequent-flyer miles during the rental-reservation process.

77. During the process in which plaintiffs and other reasonable renters rented a vehicle, Budget's affirmative misrepresentations, and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions were discreetly on Budget's website and in its Rental Agreement, Rental Agreement Receipt, and e-mail receipt, which affirmative misrepresentations, unconscionable commercial practices, and deceptions plaintiffs and other reasonable renters necessarily saw since they all completed the on-line reservation and subsequent rental process. In contrast, Budget kept its omissions and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions quiet, meaning plaintiffs and other reasonable renters did not necessarily see them, as is the nature of omissions and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions that are in the form of omissions.

78. Budget expressed, made, and/or committed its affirmative misrepresentations, intentional omissions, unconscionable commercial practices, and deceptions during and after the rental-reservation process, which conduct plaintiffs and other reasonable renters were exposed to (as it concerned Budget's misrepresentations and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions) or which conduct Budget intentionally kept from plaintiffs and other reasonable renters (as it concerned Budget's omissions and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions in the form of omissions).

79. The facts constituting Budget's affirmative misrepresentations, intentional omissions, and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions create the plausible inference that Budget intended to and did trick plaintiffs and other reasonable renters into believing that their rental "total[s]" included and entitled them to frequent-flyer miles and reward



points (i.e., “perks”), which they did not, because neither plaintiffs nor other reasonable renters (as a direct and intended result of Budget’s affirmative misrepresentations, intentional omissions and unconscionable commercial practices and deceptions) saw or understood that Budget would charge them for frequent-flyer miles and reward points. Indeed, everything Budget did with respect to inducing plaintiffs and other reasonable renters to enter their airline frequent-flyer number or points-program number suggested, if not affirmatively stated, precisely the opposite.

80. All the acts, practices, misrepresentations, and omissions by Budget described above constitute affirmative misrepresentations; intentional omissions; and unconscionable, unlawful, fraudulent, and deceptive commercial practices that directly and proximately caused plaintiffs’ and other reasonable renters’ ascertainable losses.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Breach of Contract under New Jersey Law**

81. This legal claim incorporates all of the foregoing allegations.

82. Plaintiffs contracted with Budget by reserving a rental vehicle on [www.Budget.com](http://www.Budget.com). That contract never explained that Budget would charge them for frequent-flyer miles or reward points.

83. Plaintiffs fully performed and satisfied their obligations under the contract that Budget formed with them through Budget’s reservation process.

84. In violation of New Jersey common law, Budget breached its contract with plaintiffs by not performing according to the contract’s obligations and by instead charging plaintiffs for frequent-flyer miles that Budget offered to them at no additional charge (i.e., “perks”) as a term of Budget’s rental contract.

85. Plaintiffs have suffered damages as a direct and proximate cause of Budget’s breach of contract.

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing**

86. This legal claim incorporates all of the foregoing allegations.

87. The contract formed between Budget and plaintiffs through Budget's reservation process was subject to the implied covenant that Budget would conduct its business with plaintiffs in good faith and would deal fairly with them.

88. Budget breached this implied covenant by failing to disclose Budget's surcharge associated with the frequent-flyer miles that Budget encouraged and invited plaintiffs to receive, and instead misrepresenting that Budget would provide plaintiffs frequent-flyer miles at no additional charge (i.e., "perks") when Budget knew that it was subjecting plaintiffs to a charge that, due to Budget's failure to disclose this charge, plaintiffs could never have contemplated.

89. Budget breached this implied covenant by not providing terms in its contract that conspicuously disclosed this surcharge to plaintiffs.

90. Plaintiffs have been damaged as a direct and proximate result of Budget's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **Request for Injunctive Relief under New Jersey Law**

91. This legal claim incorporates all of the foregoing allegations.

92. Injunctive relief is appropriate when it appears with reasonable certainty that a party to an action will continue or repeat its wrongful acts.

93. Enjoining Budget from continuing to charge customers, like plaintiffs, its hidden or not fully disclosed surcharge for frequent-flyer miles and reward points is appropriate because plaintiffs have established a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits; plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm without such an order; the balance of the equities, including the public interest, weigh in favor of granting plaintiffs' request injunctive relief; and alternate remedies are inadequate to assist plaintiffs.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Request for Declaratory Relief Pursuant to the  
Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 *et seq.***

94. This legal claim incorporates all of the foregoing allegations.

95. Plaintiffs contend that Budget is charging them and others illegal surcharges for frequent-flyer miles or reward points; Budget likely believes these surcharges are not illegal.

96. As such, an actual controversy exists between plaintiffs and Budget concerning the parties' rights and duties with respect to the legality of Budget's surcharge for frequent-flyer miles and reward points.

97. The parties require this Court's declaration as to their respective rights, duties, and any other relevant legal relations, whether or not plaintiffs could seek or are otherwise entitled to further relief.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment as follows:

A. An order declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a class action, certifying plaintiffs as the class representatives, and designating plaintiffs' counsel as lead counsel for the class;

B. An order awarding plaintiffs and the class compensatory damages, treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit for Budget's consumer fraud, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 56:8-19;

C. An order awarding damages to plaintiffs and the class for Budget's breach of contract;

D. An order awarding damages to plaintiffs and the class for Budget's breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing;

E. An order enjoining Budget from continuing to charge customers for receiving frequent-flyer miles or reward points unless Budget properly discloses these charges;

- F. An order declaring illegal Budget's practice of charging customers for frequent-flyer miles or reward points with proper disclosure;
- G. An order awarding plaintiffs pre- and post-judgment interest;
- H. An order awarding plaintiffs costs of suit; and
- I. An order providing such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Dated: November 27, 2012

**LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC**

/s/ Bruce D. Greenberg

Bruce D. Greenberg

Susana Cruz Hodge

Jeffrey A. Shooman

Two Gateway Center, Suite 1201

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (973) 623-3000

Facsimile: (973) 623-0858

E-mail: bgreenberg@litedepalma.com  
scrushodge@litedepalma.com  
jshooman@litedepalma.com

**GOLDMAN SCARLATO KARON &  
PENNY, P.C.**

Daniel R. Karon

Laura Killian Mummert

700 W. St. Clair Avenue, Suite 204

Cleveland, OH 44113

Telephone: (216) 622-1851

Facsimile: (216) 241-8175

E-mail: karon@gskplaw.com  
mummert@gskplaw.com

**MILLER GOLER FAEGES, LLP**

Jay R. Faeges

1301 E. 9th Street, Suite 2700

Cleveland, OH 44114-1835

Telephone: (216) 696-3366

Facsimile: (216) 363-5835  
E-mail: faeges@millergolerfaeges.com

**GOODMAN LAW FIRM**

Grant A. Goodman  
1390 W 9th St., Suite 410  
Cleveland, OH 44113  
Telephone: (216) 928-9990  
Facsimile: (216) 357-2679  
E-mail: ggoodlaw@yahoo.com

*Attorneys for plaintiffs and the putative class*

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 11.2**

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, hereby certify that to the best of their knowledge, the matter in controversy is related to Schwartz v. Avis Rent A Car System, LLC, Civil Action No. 11-4052(JLL)(MAH). Plaintiffs are not currently aware of any other party who should be joined in this action.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated: November 27, 2012

**LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC**

s/ Bruce D. Greenberg

Bruce D. Greenberg

Susana Cruz Hodge

Jeffrey A. Shooman

Two Gateway Center, Suite 1201

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (973) 623-3000

Facsimile: (973) 623-0858

E-mail: bgreenberg@litedepalma.com

scrushodge@litedepalma.com

jshooman@litedepalma.com

# **EXHIBIT 1**

you're booked! | Budget

7/14/12 5:31 PM

Confirmation number: 09792173US6 [terms & conditions apply](#)Total confirmed rate 427.56 USD  
unlimited free milesWe've sent a confirmation email to  
KLEINCHICAGO@YAHOO.COM**Rate (USD)**

car	340.00
+ options	0.00
+ taxes & fees	87.56
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 427.56</b>

**GO rate terms ?**

- 4 day 0 hour rental • unlimited free miles • 30 day maximum rental allowed

**Location****Pick-up:**

CLEVELAND HOPKINS  
AIRPORT - CLE +  
CLEVELAND, OH  
Sun, 15 Jul 2012 11:00 AM  
hours: Sun - Sat 6:00 AM -  
12:00 AM  
phone: 216-433-4434  
Shuttle to rental counter and  
cars.

**Return:**

BUFFALO INTL AIRPORT -  
BUF +  
BUFFALO, NY  
Thu, 19 Jul 2012 11:00 AM  
hours: Sun - Sat open 24 hrs  
phone: 716-632-4662  
Rental counter in terminal.  
Cars next to terminal.

**Car**

DODGE AVenger  
or similar



- intermediate 2- or 4-door • automatic • air conditioned

**Options**

- smoke-free vehicle

**Personal Info**

Stephanie K  
KLEINCHICAGO@YAHOO.COM  
3129294477  
RapidRez Number \*\*\*52G

Residency US ?

**Fastbreak** Fastbreak service available at this location.**Flight information**

WN Southwest flight # 3597 United Airlines program \*\*\*\*1480

**Offer codes**

- BCD U024100
- coupon code none

**Terms and Conditions****IMPORTANT:** This isn't simply "fine print" our lawyers ask us to include. This information will also prepare you to bring *everything* you'll need to pick up your rental.(We know it seems like a lot to read, but reviewing it now can save you a lot of time later!) Please review before pick up ... because we *really* want you to be happy with your Budget experience. See you soon!**Required Credentials:**

- At time of pickup, all drivers must present a valid driver's license in their name. For rentals in the U.S., if the driver's license is not U.S.-issued, then the renter must present a valid passport or Canadian enhanced license and a travel itinerary showing proof of return to the resident country. If the country of residence on the renter's

**Budget****RENT MORE  
SAVE MORE**Use your RapidRez Number on [budget.com](#) for a future rental.

RapidRez Number: \*\*\*52G

You'll get\* *Rent More, Save More Rates*  
which include a 10-20% discount!\*after completing your first eligible rental. | [terms and conditions](#)



you're booked! | Budget

7/14/12 5:31 PM

present a valid passport or Canadian citizenship license and a driver's license showing proof of return to the renter's country of residence on the rental's credentials do not match the country indicated on the reservation, the rental rate will change.

- In the U.S., you may be asked to sign a driving record addendum or be subject to a computerized Department of Motor Vehicles check. All drivers must have a safe driving record or Budget reserves the right to deny the rental.
- At time of pickup, renter must present a valid credit card (or debit card at participating locations) in the renter's name. A prepaid charge card is not an acceptable credential.
- If you intend to use a debit card at a location that accepts them:
  - You must be at least 25 years of age;
  - The only bank debit cards accepted are those with a MasterCard or Visa logo;
  - You may not rent a Street Fleet car (all class X vehicles);
  - You will be subject to a credit check to determine creditworthiness;
  - Positive identification (I.D.) in addition to your driver's license is required. At an airport location, your second form of I.D. must be your round-trip ticket, flight itinerary that includes the return date, or e-ticket for the airport from which you are renting. If you are not flying in or are renting at an off-airport location, your second form of identification must be either a valid U.S. passport or military I.D.; recent pay stub or bank statement, valid car insurance card or a copy of a phone, electric or cable bill that is less than 60 days old and includes the address on your driver's license.
- When using some offer codes, you must provide association, corporate, or government credentials to prove eligibility for the special rate/benefit.
- Retain your reservation confirmation number as it is necessary for modification, cancellation or refund requests. Once your scheduled pick-up time passes, reservation changes can not be made online.

#### Additional Fees and Credit Holds:

##### Total Estimated Hold Rules:

To release your rental car at pick up, we'll first need to put a hold on your credit card (or debit card at some locations) for the total estimated rental charges. A prepaid card is not an acceptable method of credit identification for this hold. While the hold is in place, the funds will not be available for your use. Upon returning the vehicle, we will process a release of the unused portion of the hold subject to your bank's procedures. The hold may take up to 2 weeks to be released by your bank.

- If you intend to use a credit card for the hold, that amount will be the total estimated rental charges or \$200, whichever is greater.
- If you intend to use a debit card for the hold, the following will apply:
  - If your rental location has exceptions to the following debit card rules — such as not accepting debit cards — those exceptions will appear in a "Location Exceptions" section above.
  - Only renters at least 25 years of age may use a debit card.
  - The only debit cards accepted are those with a MasterCard or Visa logo.
  - You may not use a debit card if you are renting a Street Fleet car (all class X vehicles).
  - Positive identification (in addition to your driver's license) is required. (See "Required Credentials" section above).
  - You will be subject to a credit check to determine creditworthiness and the rental may be denied based on the results of this check.
  - Generally, Budget requires a debit card authorization hold of estimated rental charges plus \$200 U.S. — unless you already paid toward your rental on budget.com, in which case the hold will be only \$100 U.S. We reserve the right, in our sole discretion, to request a debit card authorization hold in excess of the estimated rental charges.

- If you fail to return the vehicle as agreed, we will obtain additional debit hold authorizations from your account to cover the rental charges.
- We are not responsible for any returned checks or over-drafts due to our debit hold policy.
- A debit, cash, check card or prepaid card can be used for payment of rental charges upon car return.
- Your total rental rate is calculated based on the information provided at time of reservation. The rate is based on the exact parameters (location, dates, etc.) of your particular rental — so changing any of your confirmed reservation parameters could result in a different rates, taxes and fees.
- Surcharges and other fees are subject to tax in certain locations. This tax is not reflected in your reservation total and will be applied at time of rental.
- Quoted taxes and fees are subject to change which will affect your final total due at rental return.
- Vehicles are rented on a daily (24-hour) basis. There is a 29-minute grace period for returns. After 30 minutes late, a 3/4-day late charge + \$.01 + taxes apply. After 90 minutes late, full-day late charges + taxes apply.
- If you plans change and you no longer require the rental, you must cancel this reservation before the scheduled pick-up time or you will be charged a \$75 U.S. fee (\$50 U.S. for a budget.com paid rental).
- The U.S. Government imposes a \$5/day Admin Rate Supplement (GARS/GA) for U.S. Government rentals.

##### If you selected an Optional Product (coverages, GPS, child safety seat, Roadside SafetyNet, XM Radio, etc.) with your rental:

- Product taxes and surcharges are not reflected in your reservation total but will be collected at time of rental;
- Quoted product rates are subject to change before rental pickup;
- Prohibited use of your rental vehicle will void the Roadside SafetyNet Option;
- The Fuel Service Option price is not included in your reservation total. The prevailing market rate for fuel will be assessed when you return your car;
- You are responsible for replacement costs if the Optional Product or its components are lost, stolen or damaged;
- Products are charged on a daily (24-hour) basis. There is no grace period for returns, so full-day late charges will apply.

##### If you used a coupon for your reservation:

- savings are not reflected in your quoted rate. If your rental meets all coupon terms, a rate adjustment will be made when you pick up your car;
- For an upgrade, your reserved car will be upgraded at time of rental subject to car availability;
- This upgrade may not be used in conjunction with any other coupon, promotion or deal.
- All additional drivers must be at least 25 years of age. The fee for each additional driver is \$13/day U.S. with a maximum charge of \$65/rental U.S. The following are exempt from the fee but must meet all other driver requirements: the renter's employer or fellow employee when on company business and renting under a corporate account; the renter's spouse or domestic partner; the companion driver of a renter with a disability who has completed the non-licensed renter form; for insurance replacement rentals, company employees or family members who are designated on the insurance policy.
- An automatic \$13.99 U.S. refueling service charge will be applied to all rentals under 75 miles. Renters who return the car with a full tank of gas and provide a receipt showing the purchase of gasoline will have the charge reversed when completing their rental.
- Once you have picked up the car, if you wish to extend the rental return date past your originally scheduled time, you must call 800-824-6287. A service fee of \$10 U.S. will apply and your original per-day rental rate may change. If within 7 hours of your originally scheduled return time you don't call to extend your rental, a \$10/day U.S. late fee will apply.
- At this location, unless indicated otherwise in the "Location Exceptions" section above, an individual must be at least 21 years of age and a \$27/day surcharge applies for those under 25. Because we don't verify your age when you make a reservation, this surcharge is in addition to your quoted online rate. In addition, those under the age of 25 may not use a debit card for the required hold and they may only rent an economy, compact, intermediate, standard, full-size or premium car or an intermediate or standard SUV.

# **EXHIBIT 2**



## Budget Rent A Car System, I

RENTAL AGREEMENT NUMBER 120756053  
 Customer Name : KLEIN, STEPHANIE  
 Loyalty Level : FASTBREAK  
 Drivers Lic Number : USILXXXXXXXX8703  
 Budget Corp Disc. : FASTBREAK ENROLLMENT BUD.COM  
 Methods of Payment : VISA XX2500  
 Travel Partner Num : UA/CHP01A80

RESERVATION NUMBER 09752173-US-6  
 Budget Car Num : 6 8 6 8 5 3 7 2  
 Plate Number : OH DEF5581  
 Veh Description : WHI JEEP PATRIOT 4WD  
 Odometer Out : 11442 Mls  
 Fuel Gauge Reading: Full

PickUp Date/Time : JUL 15, 2012 @ 11:33 AM  
 Pickup Location : 19501 MAPLEWOOD AVENUE  
 CLEVELAND, OH, 44135, US

Return Date/Time : JUL 19, 2012 @ 11:00 AM  
 Return Location : 4200 GENESEE STREET  
 BUFFALO, NY, 14225, US

Additional Fees May Apply If Changes Are Made To Your Return Date, Time And/Or Location.

## YOUR ESTIMATED VEHICLE CHARGES

MIN : 1 DAY MAX : 30 DAY

RATE CHART TIME AND MILEAGE

HRLY : 63.75

DAY : 25.00

WEEKLY : 140.00

MONTHLY :

Miles: Unlimited

Your Estimated Time & Mileage.

TRANSPORTATION FEE 2.55 /DAY + 10.20

ENERGY RECOVERY FEE .60 /DAY + 2.40

11.1% Concession Recovery Fee + 38.37

Vehicle license fee .13 /DAY + 52

FTP Sur \$ 0.75/Day + 3.00

Estimated Optional Services Tot Taxable + 107.96

Estimated Subtotal Charges. 502.45

Sales Tax 7.7500 + 38.94

CITY SURCHARGE \$1.00/L/MTL + 6.00

YOUR ESTIMATED TOTAL CHARGES X : 547.39

## YOUR OPTIONAL PRODUCTS/SERVICES

Loss Damage Waiver 28.95/Day Accepted

Personal Accident and Effects 6.95/Day Declined

Emergency Sickness Plan 5.00/Day Declined

Supplemental Liability Insurance 14.42/Day Declined

Estimated Optional Services Total Taxable: 107.96

By my initials I accept or decline optional services/products as shown above. X

Please return the vehicle with the same fuel level as you received it. If you do not, additional fuel fees may apply 000-074 mls equals a 13.99 flat rate fee 074 mls and above equals .4420 per MI or 9.299 per Gal. X

-----NOTICES-----BUDGET-----NOTICES-----BUDGET-----NOTICES-----BUDGET-----NOTICES-----  
 Loss Damage Waiver is optional. Added daily cost of 28.99 covers your responsibility for damage to our car. Check with your insurer as this may be duplicative of your own car insurance. I agree the charges listed above are estimates and that I have received all notices and terms here and in the rental jacket. No additional drivers allowed without prior written consent. Tickets, fines and admin fees to be charged to this rental. X. SIGNATURE ON FILE

If you have questions regarding this rental, call us at 216-433-4434 This vehicle was rented to you by KEGAN

# **EXHIBIT 3**



We are proud to feature a 100% smoke-free fleet!

RENTAL AGREEMENT NUMBER: 120756053

RECEIPT

**Your Information**

Customer Name: STEPHANIE KLEIN  
 RapidRez Number: \*\*\*52G  
 Budget Customer Discount: FASTBREAK ENROLLMENT BUD.COM  
 Customer Status: FASTBREAK  
 Method of Payment: VISA XX2503  
 Frequent Traveler Number: UA/CWP01480

**Your Vehicle Information**

Vehicle Number: 58085672  
 Vehicle Group Rented: Intermediate SUV  
 Vehicle Group Charged: Intermediate  
 Vehicle Description: WHI JEEP PATRIOT  
 4WD  
 License Plate Number: OHDEF5581  
 Odometer Out: 11442  
 Odometer In: 11736  
 Total Driven: 294  
 Fuel Gauge Reading: Full

**Your Rental**

Pickup Date/Time: JUL 15, 2012@11:33AM  
 Pickup Location: 19601 MAPLEWOOD AVENUE  
 CLEVELAND HOPKINS AIRPORT  
 CLEVELAND, OH, 44135, US  
 216-433-4434

Return Date/Time: JUL 19, 2012@10:45AM  
 Return Location: 4200 GENESEE STREET  
 BUFFALO INT'L AIRPORT  
 BUFFALO, NY, 14225, US  
 716-632-4662

**Additional fees may apply  
 if changes are made  
 to your return date, time  
 and/or location.**

**Your Vehicle Charges (MIN 1 DAY / MAX 30 DAY)**

Rate Chart:	Free Miles:	Time and Mileage:
Miles: UNLIMITED		Your Discount:
Hourly: 63.76		4 DY @ 85.00 =
Daily: 85.00		340.00
Ad'l day: 0.00		
Weekly: 340.00		Time and Mileage:
Monthly: .00		340.00

**Your Optional Products/Services**

Loss Damage Waiver:	26.99	Accepted
<b>Optional Services Total:</b>	<b>107.96</b>	

**Your Taxable Fees**

11.11% Concession Recovery Fee	38.37
Vehicle License Fee .13/DY	.52
FTP SR\$ 0.75/DY	3.00
TRANSPORTATION FEE 2.55/DY	10.20
ENERGY RECOVERY FEE 0.60/DY	2.40
Optional Services Total Taxable:	107.96
<b>Sub-total-Charges:</b>	<b>502.45</b>
TAX 7.750%	38.94

**Your Non-Taxable Products/Services**

CITY SURCHARGE 6.00/RNTL	6.00
--------------------------	------

<b>Your Total Charges paid:</b>	<b>547.39</b>
<b>Prepayment</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Travel Partner Points Earned:</b>	<b>200</b>

<b>Net Charges:</b>	<b>USD 547.39</b>
<b>Your Total Due:</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Thank you for renting with Budget.  
 If you have any questions regarding eToll, please contact our eToll provider, HTA at 1-866-285-6050 or visit their web site at [www.htalc.com](http://www.htalc.com).  
 For all other inquiries, please contact us at 1-800-527-0700. or [www.budget.com](http://www.budget.com).

Your vehicle was rented to you by MEGAN. Your vehicle was checked in by 12345.